

closed December 17, 1999. There is no indication of any pending matter in this case, or, for that matter, in any other case in this District.

In light of the above, the Court is unable to determine whether Angel Diaz has erroneously filed this “Motion for an Order of Habeas Corpus Ad Testificandum,” in this Court rather than the Massachusetts Trial Court, or whether he intended the pleading to be filed in this Court. In either event, Angel Diaz has failed to provide a sufficient factual or legal basis upon which this Court could grant the relief requested in the Motion. Accordingly, to the extent that Angel Diaz intended this Motion for an Order of Habeas Corpus Ad Testificandum to be reviewed by this Court, the Motion is denied without prejudice. If Angel Diaz intended such Motion to be directed to the state court, he should re-file his pleading with the appropriate state court.

SO ORDERED.

DATED: November 16, 2005

/s/ Edward F. Harrington
EDWARD F. HARRINGTON
SENIOR, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE